



THE K-THEORY OF HEEGAARD
QUANTUM LENS SPACES

Piotr M. Hajac
(IMPAN / University of New Brunswick)

Joint work with Adam Rennie et Bartosz Zeliński

30 March 2016

Odd-to-even connecting homomorphism

For any one-surjective pullback diagram of algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_1 & \longleftarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A_2 , \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & \pi^1 & & \pi^2 & \\ & & & & A_{12} \end{array}$$

Odd-to-even connecting homomorphism

For any one-surjective pullback diagram of algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A_1 & \longleftarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A_2, \\
 & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\
 & & \pi^1 & & \pi^2 \\
 & & & & A_{12}
 \end{array}$$

there exists the following long exact sequence in algebraic K-theory:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{10}^{\text{alg}}} K_0(A) \longrightarrow K_0(A_1 \oplus A_2) \longrightarrow K_0(A_{12})$$

given by the construction: $GL_{\infty}(A_{12}) \ni U \mapsto M \in Proj(A)$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A_1^n & \longleftarrow & M & \longrightarrow & A_2^n. \\
 & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\
 & & (\pi^1, \dots, \pi^1) & & (\pi^2, \dots, \pi^2) \\
 & & & & A_{12}^n \cong A_{12}^n
 \end{array}$$

Milnor idempotent

Take an invertible matrix $U \in GL_n(A_{12})$ representing a class in $K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12})$. There exist liftings $c, d \in M_n(A_1)$ such that $(\pi^1 \otimes \text{id})(c) = U^{-1}$ and $(\pi^1 \otimes \text{id})(d) = U$. Then

$$p_U := \begin{pmatrix} (c(2 - dc)d, 1) & (c(2 - dc)(1 - dc), 0) \\ ((1 - dc)d, 0) & ((1 - dc)^2, 0) \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(A).$$

is an idempotent matrix such that $M \cong A^{2n} p_U$.

Milnor idempotent

Take an invertible matrix $U \in GL_n(A_{12})$ representing a class in $K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12})$. There exist liftings $c, d \in M_n(A_1)$ such that $(\pi^1 \otimes \text{id})(c) = U^{-1}$ and $(\pi^1 \otimes \text{id})(d) = U$. Then

$$p_U := \begin{pmatrix} (c(2 - dc)d, 1) & (c(2 - dc)(1 - dc), 0) \\ ((1 - dc)d, 0) & ((1 - dc)^2, 0) \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(A).$$

is an idempotent matrix such that $M \cong A^{2n} p_U$. The assignment

$$\partial_{10}^{\text{alg}} : K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) \ni [U]_{\text{alg}} \longmapsto [p_U] - [I_n] \in K_0(A),$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of the same size as the matrix U , defines the odd-to-even connecting homomorphism.

Mayer-Vietoris six-term exact sequence

For any one-surjective pullback diagram of unital C^* -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_1 & \longleftarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A_2 , \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & \pi^1 & & \pi^2 & \\ & & & & A_{12} \end{array}$$

Mayer-Vietoris six-term exact sequence

For any one-surjective pullback diagram of unital C^* -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A_1 & \longleftarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A_2, \\
 & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\
 & \pi^1 & & \pi^2 & \\
 & & & & A_{12}
 \end{array}$$

there exists the following six-term exact sequence in K -theory:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A_1) \oplus K_0(A_2) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*^1 - \pi_*^2} & K_0(A_{12}) \\
 \partial_{10} \uparrow \text{Higson's argument} & & & & \downarrow \\
 K_1(A_{12}) & \xleftarrow{\pi_*^1 - \pi_*^2} & K_1(A_1) \oplus K_1(A_2) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & K_1(A).
 \end{array}$$

Milnor's construction for C^* -algebras

- 1 For any unital C^* -algebra A_{12} , there is a functorial surjection $K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) \ni [U]_{\text{alg}} \mapsto [U] \in K_1(A_{12})$, and $K_0^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) \cong K_0(A_{12})$.

Milnor's construction for C^* -algebras

- 1 For any unital C^* -algebra A_{12} , there is a functorial surjection $K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) \ni [U]_{\text{alg}} \mapsto [U] \in K_1(A_{12})$, and $K_0^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) \cong K_0(A_{12})$.
- 2 Take a splitting $s: K_1(A_{12}) \rightarrow K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12})$, and define

$$\partial_{10} := \partial_{10}^{\text{alg}} \circ s .$$

Milnor's construction for C^* -algebras

- 1 For any unital C^* -algebra A_{12} , there is a functorial surjection $K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) \ni [U]_{\text{alg}} \mapsto [U] \in K_1(A_{12})$, and $K_0^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) \cong K_0(A_{12})$.
- 2 Take a splitting $s: K_1(A_{12}) \rightarrow K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12})$, and define

$$\partial_{10} := \partial_{10}^{\text{alg}} \circ s.$$

- 3 Use the homotopy invariance of K-theory to prove that $\partial_{10}^{\text{alg}} \circ (s - s') = 0$ for any splittings s and s' .

Milnor's construction for C^* -algebras

- For any unital C^* -algebra A_{12} , there is a functorial surjection $K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) \ni [U]_{\text{alg}} \mapsto [U] \in K_1(A_{12})$, and $K_0^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) \cong K_0(A_{12})$.
- Take a splitting $s: K_1(A_{12}) \rightarrow K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12})$, and define

$$\partial_{10} := \partial_{10}^{\text{alg}} \circ s.$$

- Use the homotopy invariance of K -theory to prove that $\partial_{10}^{\text{alg}} \circ (s - s') = 0$ for any splittings s and s' .
- Use the commutativity of the diagram and the exactness of the top row to conclude the exactness of the bottom row:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_1 \oplus A_2) & \longrightarrow & K_1^{\text{alg}}(A_{12}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{10}^{\text{alg}}} & K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A_1 \oplus A_2) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 K_1(A_1 \oplus A_2) & \longrightarrow & K_1(A_{12}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{10}} & K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A_1 \oplus A_2).
 \end{array}$$

Heegaard quantum 3-sphere and lens spaces

Definition (P.F. Baum, P.M.H., R. Matthes, W. Szymański)

For $0 \leq p, q, \theta < 1$, θ irrational, the C^* -algebra algebra of the **Heegaard quantum sphere** $C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by two elements a and b satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned}ab &= e^{i2\pi\theta}ba, & ab^* &= e^{-i2\pi\theta}b^*a, \\a^*a - paa^* &= 1 - p, & b^*b - qbb^* &= 1 - q, \\(1 - aa^*)(1 - bb^*) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

$C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$ is a $U(1)$ - C^* -algebra for the action α given by

$$\alpha_{e^{i\phi}}(a) := e^{i\phi}a, \quad \alpha_{e^{i\phi}}(b) := e^{i\phi}b.$$

Heegaard quantum 3-sphere and lens spaces

Definition (P.F. Baum, P.M.H., R. Matthes, W. Szymański)

For $0 \leq p, q, \theta < 1$, θ irrational, the C^* -algebra algebra of the **Heegaard quantum sphere** $C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by two elements a and b satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned}ab &= e^{i2\pi\theta}ba, & ab^* &= e^{-i2\pi\theta}b^*a, \\ a^*a - paa^* &= 1 - p, & b^*b - qbb^* &= 1 - q, \\ (1 - aa^*)(1 - bb^*) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

$C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$ is a $U(1)$ - C^* -algebra for the action α given by

$$\alpha_{e^{i\phi}}(a) := e^{i\phi}a, \quad \alpha_{e^{i\phi}}(b) := e^{i\phi}b.$$

Definition (P.M.H., R. Matthes, W. Szymański)

We define the C^* -algebra $C(L_{pq\theta}^N)$ of the N -th **Heegaard quantum lens space** as the fixed-point subalgebra $C(S_{pq\theta}^3)^{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}$ for the action α restricted to $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ by the N -th roots of 1.

Equivariant pullback presentation

Theorem (P.F. Baum, P.M.H., R. Matthes, W. Szymański)

Let \mathcal{T} denote the Toeplitz algebra. The $U(1)$ - C^* -algebra $C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$ is isomorphic to the following pullback of $U(1)$ - C^* -algebras with the natural $U(1)$ -action on the \mathbb{Z} -parts:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & C(S_{pq\theta}^3) & & \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
 \mathcal{T} \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z} & & & & \mathcal{T} \rtimes_{-\theta} \mathbb{Z} \\
 \swarrow \pi^1 & & & \searrow \pi^2 & \\
 & & C(S^1) \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z} & & \\
 \begin{array}{c} z_+ \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}_+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} u_+ \\ \downarrow \\ U_+ \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} z_- \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}_+^{-1} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} u_- \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}_+ U_+ \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Analogous equivariant pullback presentation

The C*-algebra $C(L_{pq\theta}^N)$ is isomorphic to the following pullback of C*-algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & C(L_{pq\theta}^N) & & \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
 \mathcal{T} \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z} & & & & \mathcal{T} \rtimes_{-N\theta} \mathbb{Z} \\
 \downarrow \tilde{z}_+ & \searrow \tilde{\pi}^1 & & \swarrow \tilde{\pi}^2 & \downarrow \tilde{z}_- \\
 \mathbb{Z} & & C(S^1) \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z} & & \mathbb{Z}^{-1} \\
 \downarrow \tilde{u}_+ & & & & \downarrow \tilde{u}_- \\
 U & & & & e^{iN(N-1)\pi\theta} \mathbb{Z}^N U .
 \end{array}$$

K-maps

$$K_0(\mathcal{T} \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \ni m \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_*^1} (m, 0) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(C(S^1) \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$K_0(\mathcal{T} \rtimes_{-N\theta} \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \ni n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_*^2} (n, 0) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(C(S^1) \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$K_1(\mathcal{T} \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \ni m \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_*^1} (0, m) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_1(C(S^1) \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$K_1(\mathcal{T} \rtimes_{-N\theta} \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \ni n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_*^2} (Nn, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_1(C(S^1) \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}).$$

K-maps

$$K_0(\mathcal{T} \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \ni m \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_*^1} (m, 0) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(C(S^1) \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$K_0(\mathcal{T} \rtimes_{-N\theta} \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \ni n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_*^2} (n, 0) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(C(S^1) \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$K_1(\mathcal{T} \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \ni m \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_*^1} (0, m) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_1(C(S^1) \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$K_1(\mathcal{T} \rtimes_{-N\theta} \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \ni n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_*^2} (Nn, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong K_1(C(S^1) \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}).$$

Inserting these K-theory groups and maps into the Mayer-Vietoris six-term exact sequence yields

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{(m,n) \rightarrow (m-n,0)} & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \\
 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow{(-Nn, m-n) \leftarrow (m,n)} & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow{0} & K_1(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)).
 \end{array}$$

K-groups

Thus we immediately obtain that $K_1(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) = \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, we can simplify the six-term exact sequence to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{(m,n) \mapsto m-n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

K-groups

Thus we immediately obtain that $K_1(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) = \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, we can simplify the six-term exact sequence to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{(m,n) \mapsto m-n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f} K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Hence $\text{Im} f = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, since \mathbb{Z} is projective, $K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) = \text{Im} f \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

K-groups

Thus we immediately obtain that $K_1(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) = \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, we can simplify the six-term exact sequence to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{(m,n) \mapsto m-n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f} K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Hence $\text{Im} f = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, since \mathbb{Z} is projective, $K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) = \text{Im} f \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Summarising, we have derived:

Theorem

$$K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } K_1(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Main result

Theorem

Let $L_N := \{x \in C(S_{pq\theta}^3) \mid \alpha_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}}(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}} x\} \subset C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$. Then L_N is not stably free as a left $C(L_{pq\theta}^N)$ -module.

Main result

Theorem

Let $L_N := \{x \in C(S_{pq\theta}^3) \mid \alpha_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}}(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}} x\} \subset C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$. Then L_N is not stably free as a left $C(L_{pq\theta}^N)$ -module.

Proof.

- 1 Find a strong connection. This proves the freeness of the action of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ on $S_{pq\theta}^3$ and yields a projection representing L_N .

Main result

Theorem

Let $L_N := \{x \in C(S_{pq\theta}^3) \mid \alpha_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}}(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}} x\} \subset C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$. Then L_N is not stably free as a left $C(L_{pq\theta}^N)$ -module.

Proof.

- 1 Find a strong connection. This proves the freeness of the action of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ on $S_{pq\theta}^3$ and yields a projection representing L_N .
- 2 Compute the Milnor idempotent.

Main result

Theorem

Let $L_N := \{x \in C(S_{pq\theta}^3) \mid \alpha_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}}(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}} x\} \subset C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$. Then L_N is not stably free as a left $C(L_{pq\theta}^N)$ -module.

Proof.

- 1 Find a strong connection. This proves the freeness of the action of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ on $S_{pq\theta}^3$ and yields a projection representing L_N .
- 2 Compute the Milnor idempotent.
- 3 Identify $[L_N] - [1]$ as a torsion generator.

Main result

Theorem

Let $L_N := \{x \in C(S_{pq\theta}^3) \mid \alpha_{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}}(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}} x\} \subset C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$. Then L_N is not stably free as a left $C(L_{pq\theta}^N)$ -module.

Proof.

- 1 Find a strong connection. This proves the freeness of the action of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ on $S_{pq\theta}^3$ and yields a projection representing L_N .
- 2 Compute the Milnor idempotent.
- 3 Identify $[L_N] - [1]$ as a torsion generator.
- 4 Use the projection homomorphism $C(L_{pq\theta}^N) \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}$ and the functoriality of K_0 to exclude the possibility of L_N being stably free.



Matching the idempotents

- Chern-Galois: The C^* -algebra $C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$ is isomorphic as a $U(1)$ - C^* -algebra to $C(S_{00\theta}^3)$. The latter is generated by isometries s and t with the $U(1)$ -action given by $\tilde{\alpha}_{e^{i\phi}}(s) = e^{i\phi}s$, $\tilde{\alpha}_{e^{i\phi}}(t) = e^{i\phi}t$. Restrict this action to $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, and denote the unitary generator of $C(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ by e_N .

Matching the idempotents

- Chern-Galois: The C^* -algebra $C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$ is isomorphic as a $U(1)$ - C^* -algebra to $C(S_{00\theta}^3)$. The latter is generated by isometries s and t with the $U(1)$ -action given by $\tilde{\alpha}_{e^{i\phi}}(s) = e^{i\phi}s$, $\tilde{\alpha}_{e^{i\phi}}(t) = e^{i\phi}t$. Restrict this action to $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, and denote the unitary generator of $C(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ by e_N . Then the formula

$$C(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \ni e_N \longmapsto s^* \otimes s \in C(S_{pq\theta}^3) \otimes C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$$

defines a strong connection. Applying Chern-Galois theory, we conclude that $ss^* \in C(L_{pq\theta}^3(N))$ is an idempotent (in fact, a projection) representing the K_0 -class of L_N .

Matching the idempotents

- Chern-Galois: The C^* -algebra $C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$ is isomorphic as a $U(1)$ - C^* -algebra to $C(S_{00\theta}^3)$. The latter is generated by isometries s and t with the $U(1)$ -action given by $\tilde{\alpha}_{e^{i\phi}}(s) = e^{i\phi}s$, $\tilde{\alpha}_{e^{i\phi}}(t) = e^{i\phi}t$. Restrict this action to $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, and denote the unitary generator of $C(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ by e_N . Then the formula

$$C(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \ni e_N \longmapsto s^* \otimes s \in C(S_{pq\theta}^3) \otimes C(S_{pq\theta}^3)$$

defines a strong connection. Applying Chern-Galois theory, we conclude that $ss^* \in C(L_{pq\theta}^3(N))$ is an idempotent (in fact, a projection) representing the K_0 -class of L_N .

- Milnor: On the other hand, the torsion-part of $K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N))$ is generated by the odd-to-even connecting homomorphism ∂_{10} applied to the K_1 -class of the unitary $Z \in C(S^1) \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}$. The Milnor construction yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1, 1) & (0, 0) \\ (0, 0) & (1 - \tilde{z}_+ \tilde{z}_+^*, 0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\tilde{z}_+ \tilde{z}_+^*, 1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Deriving the concluding contradiction

Finally, using the pullback description of $C(S_{00\theta}^3)$, we note that $ss^* = (\tilde{z}_+ \tilde{z}_+^*, 1)$. Thus it is clear that

$$\partial_{10}([Z]) = 2[1] - [L_N] - [1] = [1] - [L_N].$$

Deriving the concluding contradiction

Finally, using the pullback description of $C(S_{00\theta}^3)$, we note that $ss^* = (\tilde{z}_+ \tilde{z}_+^*, 1)$. Thus it is clear that

$$\partial_{10}([Z]) = 2[1] - [L_N] - [1] = [1] - [L_N].$$

If L_N were stably free, then there would exist $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L_N \oplus C(L_{pq\theta}^N)^k \cong C(L_{pq\theta}^N)^m$ as modules. Then the foregoing equation would imply

$$\partial_{10}([Z]) = [1] + k[1] - [L_N \oplus C(L_{pq\theta}^N)^k] = (k + 1 - m)[1].$$

However, since $\partial_{10}([Z]) \neq 0$, we conclude that $k + 1 - m \neq 0$. Therefore $N(k + 1 - m) \neq 0$ and $N(k + 1 - m)[1] = 0$.

Deriving the concluding contradiction

Finally, using the pullback description of $C(S_{00\theta}^3)$, we note that $ss^* = (\tilde{z}_+ \tilde{z}_+^*, 1)$. Thus it is clear that

$$\partial_{10}([Z]) = 2[1] - [L_N] - [1] = [1] - [L_N].$$

If L_N were stably free, then there would exist $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L_N \oplus C(L_{pq\theta}^N)^k \cong C(L_{pq\theta}^N)^m$ as modules. Then the foregoing equation would imply

$$\partial_{10}([Z]) = [1] + k[1] - [L_N \oplus C(L_{pq\theta}^N)^k] = (k + 1 - m)[1].$$

However, since $\partial_{10}([Z]) \neq 0$, we conclude that $k + 1 - m \neq 0$. Therefore $N(k + 1 - m) \neq 0$ and $N(k + 1 - m)[1] = 0$. This contradicts the fact that the projection map $C(L_{pq\theta}^N) \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}$ takes the identity to the identity inducing the map

$$K_0(C(L_{pq\theta}^N)) \ni N(k+1-m)[1] \mapsto N(k+1-m)[1_\rtimes] \neq 0 \in K_0(\mathcal{T} \rtimes_{N\theta} \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence L_N is not stably free. \square