THERE AND BACK AGAIN: FROM THE BORSUK-ULAM THEOREM TO QUANTUM SPACES

Piotr M. Hajac (IMPAN / University of New Brunswick)
Mariusz Tobolski (Warsaw University)

Joint work with
Paul F. Baum, Ludwik Dąbrowski and Tomasz Maszczyk

Zakopane, 9 February 2016

1996 m

1996 m

A mystery hiker at 1996 m


Jiří Matoušek
Using the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem
Lectures on Topological Methods in Combinatorics and Geometry

The Borsuk-Ulam Theorem

Theorem (Borsuk-Ulam)

Let n be a positive natural number. If $f : S^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, then there exists a pair $(p, -p)$ of antipodal points on S^n such that $f(p) = f(-p)$.

The Borsuk-Ulam Theorem

Theorem (Borsuk-Ulam)

Let n be a positive natural number. If $f\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, then there exists a pair $(p, -p)$ of antipodal points on S^n such that $f(p) = f(-p)$.

The logical negation of the theorem

There exists a continuous map $f\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for all pairs $(p, -p)$ of antipodal points on S^n we have $f(p) \neq f(-p)$.

The Borsuk-Ulam Theorem

Theorem (Borsuk-Ulam)

Let n be a positive natural number. If $f\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, then there exists a pair $(p, -p)$ of antipodal points on S^n such that $f(p) = f(-p)$.

The logical negation of the theorem

There exists a continuous map $f\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for all pairs $(p, -p)$ of antipodal points on S^n we have $f(p) \neq f(-p)$.

Equivalent negation

There exists a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant continuous map $\widetilde{f}\colon S^n \to S^{n-1}$.

The Borsuk-Ulam Theorem

Theorem (Borsuk-Ulam)

Let n be a positive natural number. If $f\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, then there exists a pair $(p, -p)$ of antipodal points on S^n such that $f(p) = f(-p)$.

The logical negation of the theorem

There exists a continuous map $f\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for all pairs $(p, -p)$ of antipodal points on S^n we have $f(p) \neq f(-p)$.

Equivalent negation

There exists a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant continuous map $\widetilde{f}\colon S^n \to S^{n-1}$.

Theorem (equivariant formulation)

Let n be a positive natural number. There does not exist a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant continuous map $\widetilde{f}\colon S^n \to S^{n-1}$.

Equivariant join construction

For any topological spaces X and Y, one defines the join space $X * Y$ as the quotient of $[0,1] \times X \times Y$ by a certain equivalence relation:



Equivariant join construction

For any topological spaces X and Y, one defines the join space $X * Y$ as the quotient of $[0,1] \times X \times Y$ by a certain equivalence relation:



If X is a compact Hausdorff space with a continuous free action of a compact Hausdorff group G, then the diagonal action of G on the join $X * G$ is again continuous and free.

Equivariant join construction

For any topological spaces X and Y, one defines the join space $X * Y$ as the quotient of $[0,1] \times X \times Y$ by a certain equivalence relation:



If X is a compact Hausdorff space with a continuous free action of a compact Hausdorff group G, then the diagonal action of G on the join $X * G$ is again continuous and free. In particular, for the antipodal action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on S^{n-1}, we obtain a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant identification $S^n \cong S^{n-1} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for the antipodal and diagonal actions respectively.

Join formulation and classical generalization

Thus the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem is equivalent to:

Theorem (join formulation)

Let n be a positive natural number. There does not exist a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant continuous map $\widetilde{f}\colon S^{n-1} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to S^{n-1}$.

Join formulation and classical generalization

Thus the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem is equivalent to:

Theorem (join formulation)

Let n be a positive natural number. There does not exist a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant continuous map $\widetilde{f}\colon S^{n-1} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to S^{n-1}$.

This naturally leads to:

A classical Borsuk-Ulam-type conjecture

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space equipped with a continuous free action of a non-trivial compact Hausdorff group G. Then, for the diagonal action of G on $X * G$, there does not exist a G-equivariant continuous map $f : X * G \to X$.

Join formulation and classical generalization

Thus the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem is equivalent to:

Theorem (join formulation)

Let n be a positive natural number. There does not exist a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant continuous map $\widetilde{f}\colon S^{n-1} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to S^{n-1}$.

This naturally leads to:

A classical Borsuk-Ulam-type conjecture

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space equipped with a continuous free action of a non-trivial compact Hausdorff group G. Then, for the diagonal action of G on $X * G$, there does not exist a G-equivariant continuous map $f : X * G \to X$.

Corollary

Ageev's conjecture about the Menger compacta.

Banach-Simons Semester

1 Sep – 30 Nov 2016, Simons Semester in the Banach Center

NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY THE NEXT GENERATION

Paul F. Baum, Alan Carey, Piotr M. Hajac, Tomasz Maszczyk

Banach-Simons Semester

1 Sep – 30 Nov 2016, Simons Semester in the Banach Center

NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY THE NEXT GENERATION

Paul F. Baum, Alan Carey, Piotr M. Hajac, Tomasz Maszczyk

Funding available for longer stays (Senior Professors and Junior Professors, Postdocs, or PhD Students).

Banach-Simons Semester

1 Sep – 30 Nov 2016, Simons Semester in the Banach Center

NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY THE NEXT GENERATION

Paul F. Baum, Alan Carey, Piotr M. Hajac, Tomasz Maszczyk

Funding available for longer stays (Senior Professors and Junior Professors, Postdocs, or PhD Students).

Noncommutative Geometry the Next Generation

1. 4–17 September, Będlewo & Warsaw, school on
   Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups

Noncommutative Geometry the Next Generation

1. 4–17 September, Będlewo & Warsaw, school on
   Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups
2. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to C*-algebras by Karen R. Strung

Noncommutative Geometry the Next Generation

1. 4–17 September, Będlewo & Warsaw, school on
   Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups
2. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to C*-algebras by Karen R. Strung
3. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to Hopf algebras by Réamonn Ó Buachalla

Noncommutative Geometry the Next Generation

1. 4–17 September, Będlewo & Warsaw, school on
   Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups
2. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to C*-algebras by Karen R. Strung
3. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to Hopf algebras by Réamonn Ó Buachalla
4. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   Noncommutative topology for beginners by Tatiana Shulman

Noncommutative Geometry the Next Generation

1. 4–17 September, Będlewo & Warsaw, school on
   Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups
2. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to C*-algebras by Karen R. Strung
3. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to Hopf algebras by Réamonn Ó Buachalla
4. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   Noncommutative topology for beginners by Tatiana Shulman
5. 17–21 Oct. Cyclic homology
   J. Cuntz, P. M. Hajac, T. Maszczyk, R. Nest

Noncommutative Geometry the Next Generation

1. 4–17 September, Będlewo & Warsaw, school on
   Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups
2. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to C*-algebras by Karen R. Strung
3. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to Hopf algebras by Réamonn Ó Buachalla
4. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   Noncommutative topology for beginners by Tatiana Shulman
5. 17–21 Oct. Cyclic homology
   J. Cuntz, P. M. Hajac, T. Maszczyk, R. Nest
6. 24–28 Oct. Noncommutative index theory
   P. F. Baum, A. Carey, M. J. Pflaum, A. Sitarz

Noncommutative Geometry the Next Generation

1. 4–17 September, Będlewo & Warsaw, school on
   Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups
2. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to C*-algebras by Karen R. Strung
3. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to Hopf algebras by Réamonn Ó Buachalla
4. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   Noncommutative topology for beginners by Tatiana Shulman
5. 17–21 Oct. Cyclic homology
   J. Cuntz, P. M. Hajac, T. Maszczyk, R. Nest
6. 24–28 Oct. Noncommutative index theory
   P. F. Baum, A. Carey, M. J. Pflaum, A. Sitarz
7. 14–18 Nov. Topological quantum groups and Hopf algebras
   K. De Commer, P. M. Hajac, R. Ó Buachalla, A. Skalski

Noncommutative Geometry the Next Generation

1. 4–17 September, Będlewo & Warsaw, school on
   Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups
2. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to C*-algebras by Karen R. Strung
3. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   An invitation to Hopf algebras by Réamonn Ó Buachalla
4. 19 September – 14 October, 20-hour lecture course
   Noncommutative topology for beginners by Tatiana Shulman
5. 17–21 Oct. Cyclic homology
   J. Cuntz, P. M. Hajac, T. Maszczyk, R. Nest
6. 24–28 Oct. Noncommutative index theory
   P. F. Baum, A. Carey, M. J. Pflaum, A. Sitarz
7. 14–18 Nov. Topological quantum groups and Hopf algebras
   K. De Commer, P. M. Hajac, R. Ó Buachalla, A. Skalski
8. 21–25 Nov. Structure and classification of C*-algebras
   G. Elliott, K. R. Strung, W. Winter, J. Zacharias

18–22 July 2016, the Fields Institute

GEOMETRY, REPRESENTATION THEORY AND THE BAUM-CONNES CONJECTURE

A workshop in honour of Paul F. Baum on the occasion of his 80th birthday organized by Alan Carey, George Elliott, Piotr M. Hajac, and Ryszard Nest.

18–22 July 2016, the Fields Institute

GEOMETRY, REPRESENTATION THEORY AND THE BAUM-CONNES CONJECTURE

A workshop in honour of Paul F. Baum on the occasion of his 80th birthday organized by Alan Carey, George Elliott, Piotr M. Hajac, and Ryszard Nest.

Sponsored by:

- The Fields Institute, University of Toronto, Canada
- National Science Foundation, USA
- The Pennsylvania State University, USA

FIELDS

Free actions of compact quantum groups

Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and $\delta : A \to A \otimes_{\min} H$ a unital $*$-homomorphism. We call δ a coaction of H on A (or an action of the compact quantum group (H, Δ) on A) iff

1. $(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \delta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \delta$ (coassociativity),
2. $\{\delta(a)(1 \otimes h) \mid a \in A,\ h \in H\}^{\mathrm{cls}} = A \otimes_{\min} H$ (counitality)
3. $\ker \delta = 0$ (injectivity).

Free actions of compact quantum groups

Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and $\delta : A \to A \otimes_{\min} H$ a unital $*$-homomorphism. We call δ a coaction of H on A (or an action of the compact quantum group (H, Δ) on A) iff

1. $(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \delta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \delta$ (coassociativity),
2. $\{\delta(a)(1 \otimes h) \mid a \in A,\, h \in H\}^{\mathrm{cls}} = A \otimes_{\min} H$ (counitality)
3. $\ker \delta = 0$ (injectivity).

Definition (D. A. Ellwood)

A coaction δ is called free iff

$$\boxed{\{(x \otimes 1)\delta(y) \mid x, y \in A\}^{\mathrm{cls}} = A \underset{\min}{\otimes} H}.$$

Equivariant noncommutative join construction

Definition (L. Dąbrowski, T. Hadfield, P. M. H.)

For any compact quantum group (H, Δ) acting freely on a unital C*-algebra A, we define its equivariant join with H to be the unital C*-algebra

$$A \overset{\delta}{\circledast} H := \left\{ f \in C([0,1], A) \underset{\min}{\otimes} H \;\middle|\; f(0) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes H,\ f(1) \in \delta(A) \right\}.$$

Equivariant noncommutative join construction

Definition (L. Dąbrowski, T. Hadfield, P. M. H.)

For any compact quantum group (H,Δ) acting freely on a unital C*-algebra A, we define its equivariant join with H to be the unital C*-algebra

$$A \overset{\delta}{\circledast} H := \left\{ f \in C([0,1],A) \underset{\min}{\otimes} H \;\middle|\; f(0) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes H,\ f(1) \in \delta(A) \right\}.$$

Theorem (P. F. Baum, K. De Commer, P. M. H.)

*The *-homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta\colon\ C([0,1],A) \underset{\min}{\otimes} H \longrightarrow C([0,1],A) \underset{\min}{\otimes} H \underset{\min}{\otimes} H$$

defines a free action of the compact quantum group (H,Δ) on the equivariant join C-algebra $A \circledast^{\delta} H$.*

Noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam-type conjectures

Conjecture 1

Let A be a unital C*-algebra with a free action of a non-trivial compact quantum group (H, Δ). Then there does not exist an H-equivariant *-homomorphism $A \to A \circledast^{\delta} H$.

Noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam-type conjectures

Conjecture 1

Let A be a unital C*-algebra with a free action of a non-trivial compact quantum group (H, Δ). Then there does not exist an H-equivariant *-homomorphism $A \to A \circledast^{\delta} H$.

Conjecture 2

Let A be a unital C*-algebra with a free action of a non-trivial compact quantum group (H, Δ). Then there does not exist an H-equivariant *-homomorphism $H \to A \circledast^{\delta} H$.

Noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam-type conjectures

Conjecture 1

Let A be a unital C*-algebra with a free action of a non-trivial compact quantum group (H, Δ). Then there does not exist an H-equivariant *-homomorphism $A \to A \circledast^{\delta} H$.

Conjecture 2

Let A be a unital C*-algebra with a free action of a non-trivial compact quantum group (H, Δ). Then there does not exist an H-equivariant *-homomorphism $H \to A \circledast^{\delta} H$.

The classical case

If X is a compact Hausdorff principal G-bundle, $A = C(X)$ and $H = C(G)$, then Conjecture 2 states that the principal G-bundle $X * G$ is not trivializable unless G is trivial. This is clearly true because otherwise $G * G$ would be trivializable, which is tantamount to G being contractible, and the only contractible compact Hausdorff group is trivial.

Noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam-type conjectures

Conjecture 1

Let A be a unital C*-algebra with a free action of a non-trivial compact quantum group (H, Δ). Then there does not exist an H-equivariant *-homomorphism $A \to A \circledast^{\delta} H$.

Conjecture 2

Let A be a unital C*-algebra with a free action of a non-trivial compact quantum group (H, Δ). Then there does not exist an H-equivariant *-homomorphism $H \to A \circledast^{\delta} H$.

The classical case

If X is a compact Hausdorff principal G-bundle, $A = C(X)$ and $H = C(G)$, then Conjecture 2 states that the principal G-bundle $X * G$ is not trivializable unless G is trivial. This is clearly true because otherwise $G * G$ would be trivializable, which is tantamount to G being contractible, and the only contractible compact Hausdorff group is trivial. On the other hand, we do not know whether Conjecture 1 holds in general in the commutative case.

Iterated joins of the quantum $SU(2)$ group

Consider the defining fibration of the quaternionic projective space:

$$SU(2) * \cdots * SU(2) \cong S^{4n+3}, \quad S^{4n+3}/SU(2) = \mathbb{H}P^n.$$

To obtain a q-deformation of this fibration, we take $H = C(SU_q(2))$ and A equal to a finitely iterated equivariant join of H. The quantum principal $SU_q(2)$-bundle thus given is *not* trivializable:

Theorem (main)

*There does not exist a $C(SU_q(2))$-equivariant *-homomorphism $f\colon C(SU_q(2)) \to A \circledast^{\delta} C(SU_q(2))$.*

Iterated joins of the quantum $SU(2)$ group

Consider the defining fibration of the quaternionic projective space:

$$SU(2) * \cdots * SU(2) \cong S^{4n+3}, \quad S^{4n+3}/SU(2) = \mathbb{H}P^n.$$

To obtain a q-deformation of this fibration, we take $H = C(SU_q(2))$ and A equal to a finitely iterated equivariant join of H. The quantum principal $SU_q(2)$-bundle thus given is *not* trivializable:

Theorem (main)

*There does not exist a $C(SU_q(2))$-equivariant *-homomorphism $f\colon C(SU_q(2)) \to A \circledast^{\delta} C(SU_q(2))$.*

Proof outline: First, we prove that for any finite-dimensional representation V of a compact quantum group (H, Δ), the associated finitely-generated projective module $(H \circledast^{\Delta} H)\Box_H V$ is represented by a Milnor idempotent $p_{U^{-1}}$, where U is a matrix of the representation V. Then we choose V to be the fundamental representation of $SU_q(2)$, and infer from index paring considerations that $(H \circledast^{\Delta} H)\Box_H V$ is not stably free. Finally, by the Pulling Back Theorem, we conclude that $(A \circledast^{\delta} H)\Box_H V$ is *not* stably free, whence f does not exist . □

Quantum Dynamics, 2016–2019

Research and Innovation Staff Exchange network of:
IMPAN (Poland), University of Warsaw (Poland), University of Łódź (Poland), University of Glasgow (G. Britain), University of Aberdeen (G. Britain), University of Copenhagen (Denmark), University of Münster (Germany), Free University of Brussels (Belgium), SISSA (Italy), Penn State University (USA), University of Colorado at Boulder (USA), University of Kansas at Lawrence (USA), University of California at Berkeley (USA), University of Denver (USA), Fields Institute (Canada), University of New Brunswick at Fredericton (Canada), University of Wollongong (Australia), Australian National University (Australia), University of Otago (New Zealand), University Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo (Mexico).

European Commission
HORIZON 2020
The EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation