

Banach space valued martingales and geometric properties of the space

Stanisław Kwapien (Warsaw University)

Convergence of L_1 bounded martingales in a Banach space

We will present different equivalent conditions on a Banach space F to the property that each L_1 bounded martingale with values in F is a.s. convergent. In the lectures we will restrict ourselves to martingales (f_n) in a Banach space F which are Bochner integrable.

Lemma 1 Let (f_n) be L_1 bounded martingale in a Banach space F . The following conditions are equivalent

- 1) (f_n) is a.s. convergent
- 2) (f_n) is convergent in laws
- 3) given a total family $D \subset F'$ a.s. the sequence $(f_n(\omega))$ contains a subsequence which is convergent in w_D topology on F

Definition 1 We say that F has *Radon-Nikodým property* (denoted RNP) if each σ -additive measure ν with values in F with finite variation has a density with respect to the variation.

Theorem 1 (Chatterji) Each L_1 bounded martingale in F is a.s. convergent if and only if F has RNP.

Corollary 1 If F is a reflexive, or more generally each separable subspace of F is isomorphic to a dual Banach space, then F has RNP.

Definition 2 We say that $A \subset F$ is dentable if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a hyperplane in F which cuts off A a nonempty subset with diameter less than ϵ .

Theorem 2 (Rieffel, Huff) Banach space F has RNP if and only if each bounded subset in F is dentable.

Definition 3 Let μ be a probability measure on a locally convex linear space F (defined on Borel subsets of F). We say that that a $m \in F$ is *barycenter* of μ if each $x' \in F'$ is integrable on F and $x'(m) = \int_F x'(u) d\mu(u)$. Such m if exists is unique.

Bounded, convex and closed $A \subset F$ is said to have *Choquet property* if each $x \in A$ is barycenter of some probability measure supported by Borel subset contained in $Ext(A)$ -the set of all extremal points of A .

If all such sets have Choquet property we say that F has Choquet property.

Theorem 3 (Choquet) Each compact, metrizable convex subset of locally convex linear space has Choquet property

Theorem 4 (Edgar) Each separable Banach space F with RNP has Choquet property.

Uwaga 1 Theorems 1,2,4 can be easily localized in the following sense: everywhere in their formulations we replace Banach space F by convex closed bounded subset of F .

As consequences of the above Theorems it is not hard to prove the following

Proposition 1 Each of the following is equivalent to RNP for a Banach space F

1. Each function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow F$ with bounded variation is a.e. differentiable,
2. For each absolutely continuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow F$ there is an integrable function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow F$ such that $f(t) = \int_0^t g(s)ds + f(0)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ (then $g(t) = f'(t)$ a.e.)
3. Each bounded operator $T : L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow F$ is of the form $T(f) = \int_{\Omega} fgdP$ where $g : \Omega \rightarrow F$ is a \mathcal{F} -measurable, bounded function.
4. Each operator as above can be factorized through l_1 , i.e. there are bounded operators $S : L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow l_1$ and $R : l_1 \rightarrow F$ such that $T = RS$
5. The dual space to $L_p(F)$ is canonically isomorphic to $L_q(F')$, for $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, p < \infty$

The next Proposition is more difficult medskip

Proposition 2 If A is a bounded closed and convex subset of a Banach space F then each of the following is equivalent to RNP for A

1. Each subset of A is dentable.
2. Each closed and convex subset B of A contains points of dentability for B , i.e a point $x \in B$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$, x is not the closure of $conv(B \setminus \{y \in F : \|y - x\| < \epsilon\})$.
3. Each subset B as above have strongly exposed point, i.e point x such that for some $x' \in F'$ and each $(x_n) \subset B$ the convergence $\lim_n x'(x_n) = x'(x)$ implies $\lim_n x_n = x$.
4. Each convex and closed subset of A is the closure of the convex hull of its strongly exposed points

Let us remind that KMP (Krein Milman Property) for a closed, convex bounded set $A \subset F$ means that B is the closure of $conv(Ext(B))$ for each closed convex $B \subset A$. Banach space F have KMP if each bounded convex and close subset of F has KMP.

For Banach spaces (as well for closed, convex and bounded sets) it is

$$\text{RNP} \Rightarrow \text{Choquet property} \Rightarrow \text{KMP}$$

It is long standing open problem if any of the inverse implications is true.

The following results are useful. The provided answers to open problems in the past.

Facts 1. There is a separable Banach space with RNP which is not isomorphic to a subspace of a separable dual Banach space, i.e. the inverse to Corollary 1 is not true.

2. If F does not posses KMP then there is uniformly bounded martingale (f_n) in F and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|f_n - f_{n-1}\| > \epsilon$ a.s.

3. There is a Banach space without RNP which does not contain Walsh-Paley martingale with the properties as above.

References

- [1] S.D. Chatterji, Martingales of Banach valued random variables, Bull. of American Math. Soc. (1960), 66, 395-398.
- [2] G.A. Edgar On the Radon-Nikodým property and martingale convergence (1978), Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 645.
- [3] R.F. Huff, Dentability and Radon Nikodým property, Duke Math. (1974), 41, 111-117
- [4] R.R. Phelps, Lectures on Choquet Theorem, (2001) Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1757.
- [5] M.A. Rieffel, Dentable subsets of Banach spaces with applications to Radon Nikodým Theorem, Funct. Analysis (Proceedings of Irvine Conf.) Academic Press. (1967), p. 71-77.